UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,432	07/29/2005	Manfred Dick	134.1031	5126
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 12/11/200 RBY P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770		FARAH, AHMED M		
Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3769	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/516,432	DICK ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ahmed M. Farah	3769		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DEVICE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 14-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrage 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	awn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 3769

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Claim 14, and dependent claims 15-24 thereof, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The invention claimed as a whole **is a combination of data gathering and mental steps**. No transformation of subject matter occurs in these method steps. Rather, the invention described is directed to processes of human thinking and data gathering.

"[M]entalprocesses-or processes of human thinking-standing alone are not patentable even if they have practical application." *Comiskey*, 499F.3d 1365, 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2007). Furthermore, the data gathering steps, even if performed by a machine, are not sufficient to render the subject matter statutory under § 101. *See In re Grams*, 888 F.2d 835, 839-40 (Fed. Cir. 1989)."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 14-28 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cox et al. US Patent No. 7,130,835.

Cox et al. disclose apparatus and methods of use for providing refractive corrections of a patient's eye, the apparatus comprising: a treatment laser generating ablative laser beams; a diagnostic system such as wavefront sensor, aberrometer, pachymeter, topographer, and tonometer; and an electronic data processing and control system for controlling refractive correction of the eye as claimed (see Figures 1-4).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on September 12, 2007, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that US Patent No. 7,130,835 to Cox et al. (hereinafter *Cox*), which claims priority to Provisional application 60/368,643 (herein after '643), fails to teach "a pachymetry apparatus" as recited in claim 25 of the instant application.

In response to this argument, Provisional application '643 teaches that the diagnostic instrument "consists of one ore more diagnostic devices including, for example, a wavefront sensor, topography instrument, an optical tomography (OCT) system, an ultrasound device, a scanning laser ophthalmoscope, and/or others." See page 19, lines 6-14 of the Provisional application '643. It is known in the art that both the OCT and ultrasound devices are used for measuring thickness of the eye's cornea. Hence, the examiner's position is the reference '643 clearly teaches a pachymetry device although it does not specifically recite the term "pachymetry apparatus."

Art Unit: 3769

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ahmed M. Farah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tue, Thur and Fri between 9:30 AM 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johnson Henry can be reached on (571) 272-4768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ahmed M Farah/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3769

December 8, 2008.